



Cambridge O Level

LITERATURE IN ENGLISH

2010/12

Paper 1 Poetry and Prose

May/June 2026

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme contains the instructions and marking guidance that our examiners used to mark candidate responses for this component.

Before they start marking, examiners complete a standardisation process. They review a range of candidate responses and discuss the mark scheme in detail to agree which answers can and cannot be accepted. Examiners award marks where it is clear that candidate responses have met the requirements of the mark scheme. These requirements may vary between different components or different versions of the same component, depending on the exact requirements of the question and the candidate responses seen during the standardisation process.

Sometimes, our mark schemes may allow marks to be awarded to responses which contain elements that are factually incorrect or for content not covered by the syllabus. We do this in response to the demands of a specific question to support candidates and make sure that our assessments are fair. However, these allowances should not be used as a guide for teaching and learning.

We cannot discuss the mark scheme with schools, and we recommend that schools read the mark scheme together with the assessment material and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

This document consists of **5** printed pages.

General marking principles

All examiners must apply these marking principles when marking candidate responses.

1	Examiners must award marks for each response in line with: - the specific content defined in the mark scheme - the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the level descriptions - the standard of response required as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.
2	Examiners must award whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).
3	Examiners must award marks positively . This means that: - marks are not deducted for errors or omissions - marks are awarded for correct/valid answers as defined in the mark scheme and also for other valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme referring to your team leader as appropriate - the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar are judged only when the mark scheme indicates that these features are specifically assessed in the question. However, the meaning of all responses must be unambiguous.
4	Examiners must consistently apply the requirements of the mark scheme and any rules for what to do when candidates have not followed instructions correctly.
5	Examiners must use the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme, unless limited by the quality of the candidate responses seen.
6	Examiners must award marks according to the mark scheme and must not award marks with grade thresholds or grade descriptions in mind.

English & Media-Specific Marking Principles

(To be read in conjunction with the General Marking Principles (and requiring further guidance on how to place marks within levels))

Components using level descriptions:

- We use level descriptions as a guide to broad understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a level.
- Level descriptions are a means of general guidance and should not be interpreted as hurdle statements.
- Where indicative content notes are supplied for a question, these are *not* a prescription of required content and must not be treated as such. Alternative correct points and unexpected answers in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the knowledge and skills demonstrated.
- While we may have legitimate expectations as to the ground most answers may occupy, we must at all times be prepared to meet candidates on their chosen ground, provided it is relevant ground (e.g. clearly related to and derived from a relevant passage/text and meeting the mark scheme requirements for the question).

Components using point-based marking:

Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

- a** DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term).
- b** DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct.
- c** DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons...).
- d** DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
- e** DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities.
- f** DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
- g** DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. corrasion/corrosion).

Annotations guidance for centres

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
	Correct point
	Omission, undeveloped
	Incorrect point
	Good use of language
	Used with another annotation to show which part of an extended response relates to the annotation used e.g.  , 
	Used with another annotation to show which part of an extended response relates to the annotation used e.g.  , 
	Knowledge
	Narrative
	No use of text
	Point
	Relating to question
	Repetition
	Checked by examiner
	Covered adequately
	Understanding

BAND DESCRIPTORS TABLE

Level 8	25 24 23	- demonstrates knowledge by incorporating well-selected reference to the text skilfully and with flair (AO1) - sustains a critical understanding of the text showing individuality and insight (AO2) - responds sensitively and in considerable detail to the way the writer achieves her / his effects (AO3) - sustains personal and evaluative engagement with task and text (AO4)
Level 7	22 21 20	- demonstrates knowledge by integrating much well-selected reference to the text (AO1) - shows a clear critical understanding of the text (AO2) - responds sensitively and in detail to the way the writer achieves her / his effects (AO3) - sustains a perceptive, convincing and relevant personal response (AO4)
Level 6	19 18 17	- demonstrates knowledge by supporting with careful and relevant reference to the text (AO1) - shows a clear understanding of the text and some of its deeper implications (AO2) - makes a developed response to the way the writer achieves her/his effects (AO3) - makes a well-developed, detailed and relevant personal response (AO4)
Level 5	16 15 14	- demonstrates knowledge by showing some thoroughness in the use of supporting evidence from the text (AO1) - shows understanding of the text and some of its deeper implications (AO2) - makes some response to the way the writer uses language (AO3) - makes a reasonably developed relevant personal response (AO4)
Level 4	13 12 11	- demonstrates knowledge by using some supporting textual detail (AO1) - shows some understanding of meaning (AO2) - makes a little reference to the language of the text (AO3) - begins to develop a relevant personal response (AO4)
Level 3	10 9 8	- demonstrates knowledge by making a little supporting reference to the text (AO1) - makes some relevant comments (AO2) - shows a basic understanding of surface meaning of the text and language (AO3) - attempts to communicate a basic personal response (AO4)
Level 2	7 6 5	- demonstrates knowledge by making a little reference to the text (AO1) - makes a few straightforward comments (AO2) - shows a few signs of understanding the surface meaning of the text and language (AO3) - some evidence of simple personal response (AO4)
Level 1	4 3 2 1	- demonstrates knowledge by limited textual reference (AO1) - shows some limited understanding of simple/literal meaning (AO2) - a little awareness of surface meaning of text and language (AO3) - limited attempt to respond (AO4)
Level 0	0	<i>No answer / Insufficient to meet the criteria for Band 1.</i>