

Cambridge TECHNICALS

2016

Cambridge **TECHNICALS LEVEL 3**

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE

Feedback on the June 2018 exam paper (including selected exemplar candidate answers and commentary)

Unit 6 – Personalisation and a person-centred approach to care Version 1

ocr.org.uk/healthandsocialcare

CONTENTS

| Introduction | 3 |
|--|----|
| General examiner comments on the paper | 4 |
| Questions 1(a) and (b) | 5 |
| Exemplar candidate work | 7 |
| Questions 1(c) and (d) | 9 |
| Question 2(a) | 12 |
| Questions 2(b) and (c) | 14 |
| Exemplar candidate work | 16 |
| Question 3(a) | 18 |
| Exemplar candidate work | 20 |
| Question 3(b) | 26 |
| Question 3(c) | 27 |
| Questions 4(a) and (b) | 29 |
| Exemplar candidate work | 32 |

INTRODUCTION

This resource brings together the questions from the June 2018 examined unit (Unit 6), the marking guidance, the examiners comments and the exemplar answers into one place for easy reference.

We have also included exemplar candidate answers with commentary for Questions 1(b), 2(b), 3(a) and 4(a).

The marking guidance and the examiner's comments are taken from the Report to Centre for this question paper.

The Question Paper, Mark Scheme and the Report to Centre are available from:

https://interchange.ocr.org.uk/

| <image/> <image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header> | Concerned of the concer | Cambridge Technicals Level 3 Health & Social Care 05930-05833 & 05871 Unit 6 Personalisation and a person-centred approach to care OCR Report to Centres June 2018 |
|--|--|--|
| | Onford Cembridge and RSA Examinations | Oxford Cembridge and RSA Examinations |

GENERAL EXAMINER COMMENTS ON THE PAPER

The majority of candidates attempted to answer all the questions and appeared to have sufficient time to complete the paper. Most were able to gain marks for demonstrating knowledge of the key concepts and principles of personalisation. Those who scored well could apply their knowledge in creative ways to different practice scenarios.

Resources which might help address the examiner comments:

From the link below, you'll find 'The OCR guide to examinations' (along with many other skills guides) <u>http://www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/skills-guides/</u>

Command verbs definitions

http://www.ocr.org.uk/Images/273311-command-verbs-definitions.pdf

Questions 1(a) and (b)

| 1 (a) l | ist three key features of personalisation. | |
|---------|--|-----|
| · | Three features required. One mark each. | |
| | Key features of personalisation: • • personal budgets | |
| 2 | direct payments | |
| | managed accountscoproduction | |
| | citizenship model | |
| 3 | voice, choice and control over care self-assessment of needs | |
| | changing roles of professionals | |
| | individual knows what is best for them/can challenge professionals' opinions care adapted to individual needs | [3] |
| | promotes inclusive communities | |
| | puts individual at the centre of care allows individuals to take risks. | |
| (b) E | Explain one principle of a person-centred approach to care. | |
| | Three marks for an explanation. One required. | |
| | • Independence and rights – being able to live life the way they want to, the right to | |
| | be employed, the right to form meaningful relationships. | |
| | • Co-production, choice and control – being treated as an equal partner in decision | |
| | • Co-production, choice and control – being treated as an equal partner in decision making about their care, being able to make decisions about their life/care, being able to have more of what is important to them. Decisions are made by individual | |
| | • Co-production, choice and control – being treated as an equal partner in decision making about their care, being able to make decisions about their life/care, being | |

Question 1(a):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

One mark for any of the listed answers.

Accept alternative language

Do not accept:

- Empowerment
- Consequences of personalisation

Question 1(b):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

One mark for stating a principle and up to **two marks** for the explanation.

Three marks:

- states a principle
- fully explains the principle
- provides a rationale for the principle.

Two marks:

- states a principle
- a brief/sound explanation of the principle.

One mark:

- states a principle OR
- brief explanation which lacks clarity.

Examiner comments

Question 1(a) – Candidates were required to identify three key features of personalisation. The majority were able to name at least two key features. Personal budgets; voice, choice and control and putting the individual at the centre of care were the most frequently given correct responses. A few candidates lost a mark for giving 'voice' and 'choice and control' as separate responses.

Question 1(b) – To achieve full marks on this question, candidates were required to explain one of the three principles of a personcentred approach to care: independence and rights, co-production/choice and control; or inclusive/competent communities. The answer needed to state the principle and give a detailed explanation, which demonstrated understanding of the importance of the principle to individuals' lives. The most frequent correct principle identified was 'choice and control' with the quality of the explanations differentiating well between candidates. Those who scored full marks were able to explain why and how individuals have choice and control over their lives and their care. Some candidates lost marks for responses, which lacked clarity and/or did not clearly state the principle being explained.

Question 1(b) – Low level answer

| 255. to |
|---------------|
| any |
| |
| ne. Duohsed., |
| <u>amn</u> |
| ould like [3] |
| (|

Commentary

The candidate has been credited for identifying that a feature of a person-centred approach is having their wishes and aspirations met. However they have not explained what this means in practice or how it relates to one of the principles of a person-centred approach.

To improve this response the candidate could have made reference to the principle of independence and rights which recognises that individuals must be able to live life the way they want to.

The candidate has made reference to equality and diversity which was not related to the question. Centres should ensure that candidates have opportunities to practice writing relevant answers with clarity and precision.

Question 1(b) – High level answer

(b) Explain one principle of a person-centred approach to care. <u>A</u> principle of a person-centred approach to care. <u>is</u> to always give the individual a choice. <u>As</u> on example, a carer has offered to help <u>an</u> individual get reactly for the day and has <u>cisked</u> them what they would like to inear insted [3] of choosing themselp.

Commentary

The candidate has made reference to the principle of 'choice' with a brief explanation of what giving choice means in practice. The answer is clear and related to the question.

To improve this answer the candidate could have explained that when carers give individuals choices they enable the individual to feel in control of their own life which promotes their self-esteem.

Questions 1(c) and (d)

(c)* Analyse the impact of the Children and Families Act 2014 for children with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND).

Impact of the Children and Families Act 2014 for children with SEN and disabilities

- Education and Health Care Plans (EHC plans) introduced so their needs are assessed in a holistic way.
- Rights to a personal budget for children with EHC plans.
- Families have to be involved in discussions and decisions about their children's care and education when writing an EHC plan.
- Local authority must inform young people and parents of support they are entitled to so they are aware of their choices.
- More support to be provided to schools for children with medical conditions in order to meet their needs e.g. make adaptations.

Gives children the right to access any type of school.

| [7] |
|-----|

(d) Describe one way a person-centred approach leads to good practice.

| | hree marks for a description. One required. Leads to better co-ordinated services due to the person being at the centre of their |
|---|--|
| Ī | |
| | care and professionals working with them collaboratively. |
| • | Gives people dignity, compassion and respect by taking account of their social and |
| | practical needs rather than just treating their condition. |
| • | Promotes independence and allows patients to recognise and develop their own |
| | strengths and abilities which improves their self-esteem and allows them to live well. |
| • | Can improve clinical outcomes because individuals learn how to manage their |
| | conditions more effectively and decide what they need to stay healthy/well. |
| | A person-centred approach leads to professionals treating individuals with empathy |
| | so the individual feels respected and confident to express their voice. |
| | so the mulvidual leels respected and confident to express their voice. |

Question 1(c):

| Content | Levels of response |
|--|---|
| This is a levels of response question – marks are awarded on the quality of the response given. The focus of the question is analysis. Annotation: The number of ticks will not necessarily correspond to the marks awarded. | Level 3 [6–7 marks] Answers provide a detailed analysis of how EHC plans support children with SEN and disabilities. Clear links are made between personalisation and EHC plans. Answers will be coherent, factually accurate and use appropriate terminology. There will be few, if any, errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. |
| Level 3 - checklist: detailed analysis clear knowledge and understanding of EHC plans explicitly linked to the legislation correct use of terminology QWC - high | Level 2 [3–5 marks] The answer provides clear knowledge of how children with SEN and disabilities are supported in schools. Some reference should be made to the legislation. Answers will be factually correct. There may be some errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. |
| Level 2 - checklist: clear knowledge about SEND support some reference to legislation mostly relevant and accurate information QWC - mid Level 1 - checklist limited/basic description information may not be relevant to the legislation may identify generic facts about personalisation in schools limited structure, may be list like or muddled QWC - low | Level 1 [1–2 marks] Answer provides a limited or basic description of the ways that children with SEN and disabilities can be supported. May only identify generic features of personalisation. Answers may be list like, muddled, demonstrating little knowledge or understanding of legislation. Errors of grammar and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive. O marks – response not worthy of credit. |

Question 1(d):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

Mark for the explanation, not for specific points.

Three marks:

- a full and detailed description
- clearly linked with a person-centred approach
- an example of how the approach is applied in practice.

Two marks:

- a brief description
- linked to a person-centred approach with some reference to practice.

One mark:

- a simple description/statement
- may only link to either practice or a person-centred approach.

Examiner comments

Question 1(c) – This levelled response question required candidates to analyse the impact of the Children and Families Act 2014 for children with SEND. Few candidates scored well as the majority did not know the main provisions made in the legislation such as Education and Health Care Plans, which require taking a holistic approach when assessing the needs of children with SEND incorporating their health, educational and social needs. A significant number of candidates were awarded marks for knowing that schools make adaptations to meet the needs of children with SEND and providing examples of how this is done in practice. Candidates who identified generic features of personalisation, such as having a personal budget to provide choice when meeting care needs, were awarded level 1 [1-3 marks].

Centres should ensure that candidates know the main provisions of key legislation, how these are implemented in practice and their potential impact on the lives of individuals.

Question 1(d) – Candidates were required to describe one way a person-centred approach leads to good practice. Most candidates were able to describe how a person-centred approach benefits those receiving care. Candidates tended to focus on the emotional benefits for individuals with few recognising the positive clinical outcomes that can arise from receiving person-centred care.

Question 2(a)

2 Emma, 35, lives in a residential care home. There are three members of staff working at the care home: Naomi, Steven and Rachel. Naomi is Emma's key worker.

Emma's friend Tom also lives at the care home.

Emma sees Laura for physiotherapy once a week.

Emma's parents visit occasionally but are not closely involved in her care. She also has a sister who does not visit.

(a) Represent the information about the people in Emma's life on a doughnut chart.

The doughnut chart should:

- 1. Emma at the centre/pivotal
- 2. Are all the professionals on the chart: Naomi, Rachel, Steven and Laura?
- 3. Are sister, parents and Tom on the chart?
- 4. Does it clarify who provide professional care?
- 5. Does it clarify who does not provide care?
- 6. Is it quick to read at a glance?
- 7. Is it logical? i.e. Naomi (Steven and Rachel) closer to Emma, Tom nearer than parents and sister.

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

One mark for points 1-6.

Two marks for point 7:

Two marks:

The relative importance of people to Emma is clearly demonstrated.

One mark:

The importance of people to Emma is partially demonstrated.

Examiner comments

Candidates were required to represent the information provided about 'Emma', an individual receiving care, on a doughnut chart. Candidates were awarded marks for a chart, which put Emma at the top/centre in a way that made it clear that the chart was about her care relationships; for having all the people involved in Emma's life represented on the chart; and for clarifying who provided or did not provide care. Additionally marks were awarded for the chart being quick to read at a glance and for representing the information in a logical way.

Candidates scored highly on this question with the majority achieving at least 4 marks. The most frequent reason for a loss of marks was for not clarifying who provided or did not provide care.

Questions 2(b) and (c)

| Three marks for an explanation. One required. Purpose of a doughnut chart | • |
|--|----------------|
| Any of these points may be featured in the response: | |
| • To find out who is important in a person's life. | |
| • To clarify the roles and responsibilities of people involved in a person's care. | |
| To evaluate their network of support. | |
| To look for ways to improve their network of support | |
| To review the support availableTo collect/collate information about the person | |
| Quick way of representing information | |
| Includes people in their life as well as people directly involved in their care. | |
| | |
| | ew. |
| 1 Two marks for a description. Two required. | ew. |
| 1 Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: | |
| Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there | ew. |
| 1 Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: | ew. |
| Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there During the meeting – to clarify roles and responsibilities, e.g. to see who is important to them/to see who is involved in their care During the meeting – to think about what is working or not working in their | |
| Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there During the meeting – to clarify roles and responsibilities, e.g. to see who is important to them/to see who is involved in their care During the meeting – to think about what is working or not working in their network | |
| Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there During the meeting – to clarify roles and responsibilities, e.g. to see who is important to them/to see who is involved in their care During the meeting – to think about what is working or not working in their network During the meeting – to think about ways to expand/improve someone's network | · · vork |
| Two marks for a description. Two required. Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there During the meeting – to clarify roles and responsibilities, e.g. to see who is important to them/to see who is involved in their care During the meeting – to think about what is working or not working in their network | · · vork |
| Ways a doughnut chart could be used: When planning a person-centred review to decide who should be there During the meeting – to clarify roles and responsibilities, e.g. to see who is important to them/to see who is involved in their care During the meeting – to think about what is working or not working in their network During the meeting – to think about ways to expand/improve someone's network | |

Question 2(b):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

Mark for the explanation, not for specific points.

Three marks:

- a full and detailed explanation
- clear understanding of the purpose of a doughnut
- clear reference to improving care.

Two marks:

- a sound explanation
- some understanding of the purpose of a doughnut.

One mark:

• a brief statement without clear explanation.

Question 2(c):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

Two marks for a full description which is relevant to a person-centred review.

One mark for a brief answer/statement which lacks clarity.

Do not credit:

- simple re-iteration of the situation
- 'to see who they do not like'.

Examiner comments

Question 2(b) – The majority of candidates were able to achieve at least two marks on this question, which required them to explain the purpose of a doughnut chart. Most candidates knew that a doughnut chart allowed carers to find out who was important in a person's life. To achieve full marks candidates needed to demonstrate an understanding that the overarching purpose of a doughnut chart is to clarify who does and does not provide care in order to review the support they receive.

Centres should ensure that candidates have opportunities to practice writing short answers, which have sufficient depth to gain full marks on these kinds of questions.

Question 2(c) – Candidates were able to score points on this question for describing how a doughnut chart could be used in a review meeting. The majority of candidates were able to score at least two points. Those who achieved full marks were able to relate their answer to review meetings by referring to ways of improving an individuals' care or social network. Repetitions were not credited and candidates should be made aware that in questions requiring more than one example they must give answers, which identify different points or features.

Question 2(b) – Low level answer

|) | Explain the purpose of a doughnut chart. |
|---|--|
| | The purperse of a deughnut chart in to represent individuals |
| | in the life of a person realizing paratur - control |
| | are according in the important they their |
| | infortance in the persons care and support |
| | |
| | |
| | [3] |

Commentary

The candidate has correctly identified that a doughnut chart represents who is important in a person's care and support. The explanation lacks depth as the candidate does not explain the purpose of a doughnut chart.

To improve this answer, the candidate could have explained that the purpose of a doughnut chart is to clarify the roles and responsibilities which individuals have in supporting and caring for a person.

Question 2(b) – High level answer

(b) Explain the purpose of a doughnut chart.

The purpose of a doughnur chart is to ensure that all people involved with an individual is separated for example family, prachhomers or key workers to allow the needs of individual to be met successfully. Furthermore to ensure that the needs of the individual are not in an organised fashion were everyore understands their role[3] and who is important

Commentary

The candidate has demonstrated a clear understanding that a doughnut chart includes all the individuals who are important to a person's care and support. They have also recognised that the purpose of the chart is to ensure that everyone understands their role.

The candidate has made some reference to the purpose of a doughnut chart being to ensure care is 'organised'. They could have improved their answer had they explained that the purpose of a doughnut chart is to ensure there are no gaps in a person's care, i.e. to evaluate/improve their network of support.

Question 3(a)

| Analyse how a person-centred review could help Jessica. | |
|---|-------|
| A person-centred review meeting could help Jessica by: | |
| putting her at the centre of her care | |
| improve her confidence/self-esteem/empower her | •••• |
| it would allow her to have voice, choice and control | |
| improve her quality of life or support | |
| receive the care she wants or needs | |
| • it would allow Jessica to maintain her independence if that is what is important to | |
| her, e.g. adaptations to home if required/PA support with household tasks/mobility | |
| consider how husband/children can/can't support her | |
| • it means everyone who is important to her wellbeing will be in one place including her husband and children | •••• |
| • it would allow everyone to understand what is and isn't working for Jessica and her family e.g. difficulties/challenges/wants/needs | •••• |
| it would allow actions and solutions to be coproduced | •••• |
| • it would allow everyone to share their perspective on how Jessica's needs have | |
| changed and update/create a care plan | |
| it will allow Jessica to make plans for her future | |
| it will determine if Jessica's budget is sufficient for her care needs. | |
| | |
| How it a person-centred review may not help: | |
| care is limited to the budget | •••• |
| availability or accessibility of care lack of staff training in a parton control approach | |
| lack of staff training in a person-centred approachlack of family support for a person-centred approach. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ••••• |
| | ••••• |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Content | Levels of response |
|--|---|
| This is a levels of response question – marks are awarded on the quality of the response given. The focus of the question is analysis. Annotation: The number of ticks will not necessarily correspond to the marks awarded. | Level 3 [8-10 marks] Answers provide a detailed analysis of the importance of a person centred review meeting for Jessica. Answers are explicitly linked to Jessica's circumstances and person-centred review meetings. Answers will be coherent, factually accurate and use appropriate terminology. There will be few, if any, errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. |
| Level 3 - checklist: detailed analysis explicitly linked to Jessica explicitly linked to person-centred care discusses pros and/or cons in depth correct use of terminology QWC - high | Level 2 [4-7 marks] The answer provides a sound explanation of the importance of a person centred review meeting for Jessica. Answers will be factually correct but may lack depth. There may be some errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. Level 1 [1-3 marks] |
| Level 2 - checklist: sound explanation which may lack depth linked to Jessica some reference to the importance of a person-centred review mostly relevant and accurate information QWC - mid | Answer provides a limited or basic description of review meetings. May not refer to Jessica or review meetings Answers may be list like or muddled, demonstrating little knowledge or understanding. Errors of grammar and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive. 0 marks – response not worthy of credit. |
| Level 1 - checklist limited/basic description information may be generic with little/no analysis limited structure, may be list like or muddled QWC - low | |

Examiner comments

This question required candidates to explain how a person-centred review meeting could help to improve care for 'Jessica', an individual with a degenerative condition. The majority of candidates demonstrated good general knowledge about person-centred review meetings and appeared to have been well-prepared for this question. To achieve level 3 candidates needed to relate their answer to the individual's circumstances as described in the question stem and to discuss the pros and/or cons of person-centred review meetings in depth. Candidates who scored well were aware that in this circumstance a review was necessary due to the individual's deteriorating condition. They demonstrated a clear understanding that solutions should be coproduced by those attending the meeting in order to enable Jessica to have the care she wanted and needed.

Some candidates lost marks for discussing the benefits of a personalisation in general rather than the benefits of person-centred review meetings explicitly. Centres should ensure that candidates have opportunities to practice writing well-structured long responses, which clearly answer the question being asked.

Question 3(a) – Low level answer

3 (a)* Jessica, 45, is a lawyer. She is married and has two children. Jessica has motor neurone disease, and her condition is deteriorating. She is no longer able to walk without support. She is finding household tasks increasingly difficult to manage.
 Analyse how a person-centred review could help Jessica.

The person-centred review could help Jessica. The person-Centred sare an applied to ressign as they can walling Ands For Tessica 50. 2. rouide ...Support . Se do basic tasks like to provide to ena hor 0 to enable to participate in excessize activity 0.to ealth. Chey ian also send member Keop her hit and a her OF stalt to Jessicas home and they can help. to very day to. get exersize 9100 walle VIJIEmg Jess. Worker h.anle trending and On basis can is the daily an WOYIO and. 50 can ARLAND han 10 TESSION IN The at prond Com Lait Nelaxe Chanang. alone ...QAD P. Orb GPaH allowing her tasis and achieles

Commentary

The candidate has given two examples of the kind of care which might help Jessica to live independently, including walking aids and a personal carer to help with household tasks. The candidate has also made some reference to the benefits of Jessica of receiving this care. To improve the answer the candidate could have explained more clearly that the benefits of receiving this care would allow Jessica to continue to participate in family life and improve her confidence.

The answer is not well-focused on the question as the candidate has not made explicit reference to the benefits of person-centred review meetings. These meetings provide a space for everyone who is involved in Jessica's life and care to come together and ensure she has the support she needs, according to her wishes.

Ouestion 3(a) – Medium level answer

3 (a)* Jessica, 45, is a lawyer. She is married and has two children. Jessica has motor neurone disease, and her condition is deteriorating. She is no longer able to walk without support. She is finding household tasks increasingly difficult to manage.

Analyse how a person-centred review could help Jessica.

A person-centred review, will allow professionals to have an idea of what equipment Jessica michit need for her life to be easser. This will Bush ZILOU TESSICO to develop her aun care plan to meet her needs. This will not only DOLLAISO Ser SICE mare 1+ . D. on her family Jolan CUSTOLIHIE SUD ner A person-centred rel view wil also raise their awarne financial Uky . To See U the lide more government money 40 aving a disability can be 0215 11/1U very expensive allas her to have amily Dest CCC in what PUL HALAC 15 lose to her her As they are and see per on an eventoid masis

Commentary

The candidate has made reference to several ways a person-centred review could benefit Jessica. They have demonstrated awareness that the purpose of a person-centred review is for professionals to understand the care which Jessica wants and needs, to review the budget and for her family to contribute their perspectives.

To improve this answer the candidate could have made reference to the limitations of person-centred review meeting such as care plans being limited by the budget available or there being a limited availability of care services in her area.

Question 3(a) – High level answer

3 (a)* Jessica, 45, is a lawyer. She is married and has two children. Jessica has motor neurone disease, and her condition is deteriorating. She is no longer able to walk without support. She is finding household tasks increasingly difficult to manage.

Analyse how a person-centred review could help Jessica.

......[10]

Commentary

The candidate has analysed in depth the potential benefits to Jessica of a person-centred review meeting. Their answer explicitly relates to how person-centred review meetings benefit individuals through the use of person-centred tools and by putting the individual's wants and needs at the centre of collaborative decisions made at the meeting. There is evidence of a detailed understanding of what happens during a person-centred review meeting with appropriate terminology used.

The candidate has also made clear reference to ways the benefits of a person-centred review may be limited, i.e. through geographical or communication barriers. In order to improve this answer the candidate could have explained in greater depth how these barriers lead to limitations.

Question 3(b)

| (b) | State two questions that the facilitator might ask Jessica at the meeting as a result of deteriorating condition. | her |
|-----|---|------|
| | Two questions required. Two marks each. Example questions (one mark): What can we do to support you ? What is/isn't working well for you? What is/isn't working well for your family? | |
| | What are your wishes/needs etc? Example questions (two marks) How does it make you feel now your condition is deteriorating and you are able to do less things? What do you need to stay well-supported as you become less mobile What care do you think is best for you now and afterwards when your condition | [4] |
| | deteriorates further?What is important to you for the future now that your needs are changing?How are your needs changing now that you are less mobile? | |

Mark Scheme Guidance

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

Two marks for an answer that relates to Jessica's changing circumstances.

One mark for a generic answer which does not reference Jessica's circumstances.

Accept alternative language.

Accept other reasonable questions.

Do not credit:

• simple re-iteration of the situation, ie repeat of 'deteriorating condition'.

Examiner comments

Candidates were required to identify two questions, which Jessica could be asked in her person-centred review. Most gained half the marks available as they gave generic answers such as 'what is important to your care?' Very few candidates were able to give an answer, which gained the additional mark. The additional mark was awarded when responses showed a clear understanding of Jessica's changing circumstances. For example, some were able to apply their knowledge creatively to write questions such as, 'Can your family provide more support with household tasks which you can no longer do yourself?'.

Question 3(c)

| Explain the benefits of personalisation for Jessica. |
|---|
| Benefits of personalisation for Jessica: Maintain control over her life Be able to continue to work as long as she can Be able to remain in her own home with her family if she wishes to Be included in her community Receive the care she wants/needs Improve her emotional health and wellbeing e.g. confident, happier, empowered. Not defined by her condition Improved quality of life. |
| How benefits arise Personal budget can be spent on adaptations Personal assistant can adapt care to individual needs Receives improved information and guidance about her choices Care givers are trained in a person-centred approach Community facilities are adapted to suit those with limited mobility. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| [6 |
| |

| Content | Levels of response |
|--|--|
| This is a levels of response question – marks are awarded on the quality of the response given. The focus of the question is explanation. Annotation: The number of ticks will not necessarily correspond to the marks awarded. Level 3 – checklist: detailed explanation clearly linked to Jessica | Level 3 [5-6 marks] The answer provides a detailed explanation of the benefits of personalisation for Jessica. Answers make reference to how the benefits arise. Answers will be coherent, factually accurate and use appropriate terminology. There will be few, if any, errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. Level 2 [3-4 marks] The answer provides a clear explanation of the benefits of personalisation for Jessica. Answers will be factually correct. |
| reference made to how benefits arise Level 2 - checklist: clear explanation related to Jessica QWC - mid Level 1 - checklist limited/basic explanation generic and not related to Jessica limited structure, may be list like or muddled QWC - low | There will be few errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. Level 1 [1-2 marks] Answer provides a limited or basic explanation of the benefits of personalisation not related to Jessica. Answers may be list like or muddled, demonstrating little knowledge or understanding. Errors of grammar and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive. 0 marks – response not worthy of credit. |

Examiner comments

To achieve level three on this question, candidates were required to provide a full and detailed explanation of the benefits of personalisation to Jessica that made reference to how those benefits arise. For example, as Jessica has the right to live life the way she wants to, adaptations can be made to her home to enable her to remain living with her family. Most candidates scored well on this question and were well-versed in the benefits and features of personalisation. The few candidates who did not score well on this question were those who found it challenging to write longer answers with clarity, for example they provided a list of features without giving a clear explanation.

Questions 4(a) and (b)

| 4 | (a) | Give three examples of non-person centred care in a residential care home. | |
|---|------|--|-----|
| | | One mark for an example. Three required. Examples of non-person centred care: Iack of choice of activities Iack of choice of meals | |
| | | 2 . lack of choice of routines treating residents all the same focusing on deficits rather than capabilities a. not getting to know people as individuals | |
| | | not focusing on what is important to individuals carers making decisions for individuals not taking time to understand how individuals communicate their needs no adaptations made to individual needs fixed routines e.g. visiting hours. | [3] |
| | (b)* | Explain how barriers to a person-centred approach could be overcome in a residential care home. | |
| | | How barriers to a person-centred approach could be overcome in a residential care home: | |
| | | staff training | |
| | | recognising when support is not person-centred and taking action to rectify, e.g. fixed bed times are changed to variable bed times. | |
| | | modelling behaviour. [Barriers to a person-centred approach (may be implicit in the answer) | |
| | | Lack of staff training Staff attitude (thinking you know what is best for an individual) Communication issues | |
| | | Medical rather than social model of care] | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | [6] |

Question 4(a):

Annotation:

The number of ticks must match the number of marks awarded.

One mark for any of the listed answers

Accept alternative language.

Accept other reasonable examples.

Do not accept:

- lack of care
- abuse
- lack of training
- inadequate practice
- no review meetings.

Question 4(b):

| Content | Levels of response |
|---|--|
| Content This is a levels of response question – marks are awarded on the quality of the response given. The focus of the question is explanation. Annotation: The number of ticks will not necessarily correspond to the marks awarded. Level 3 – checklist: • detailed explanation • explicit reference to barriers and how they are overcome • examples clearly relate to a residential care home • QWC – high Level 2 – checklist: | Level 3 [5-6 marks] The answer provides a detailed explanation of barriers to a person- centred approach and ways of overcoming them. Examples are given which clearly relate to a residential care home. Answers will be coherent, factually accurate and use appropriate terminology. There will be few, if any, errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling. Level 2 [3-4 marks] The answer provides an explanation of how barriers to a person-centred approach are overcome. Examples are given which are relevant to a residential care home. Answers will be factually correct. There will be few errors of grammar, |
| sound explanation provides detailed examples of how barriers are overcome relevant to a residential care home (may be implicit) QWC – mid | punctuation and spelling. Submax of 3 for one barrier done well. Level 1 [1-2 marks] |
| Level 1 - checklist limited/basic description brief statements of ways to overcome barriers limited structure, may be list like or muddled QWC - low | Answer provides a limited or basic description of ways to overcome barriers to a person-centred approach. Answer may not be related to a residential care home. Answers may be list like or muddled, demonstrating little knowledge or understanding. Errors of grammar and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive. |
| | 0 marks – response not worthy of credit. |

Examiner comments

Question 4(a) – Candidates were required to give three examples of care, which was not person-centred. The majority of candidates found this challenging. The most frequent incorrect response was to give examples of inadequate care or criminal acts such as financial abuse. Centres should ensure that candidates understand that care is frequently provided which is not inadequate but is also not person-centred. For example, having fixed meal times or a lack of menu choices in a residential care home.

Question 4(b) – To achieve level three on this question, candidates were required to explain how barriers to a person-centred approach could be overcome in a residential care home. A significant number knew the ways listed on the unit specification, such as values-based recruitment and providing staff with training. However, few candidates were able to provide a clear explanation, which demonstrated awareness of the need to change staff attitudes and existing ways of working, for example by providing training to existing staff and recruiting staff who use a person-centred approach.

Centres should ensure that the meaning of terminology associated with this unit is well-understood by candidates. They should be given opportunities to practice applying their learning to different practice scenarios so that they are able to demonstrate a deeper understanding of what the terminology means.

Question 4(a) – Low level answer

| | Give three examples of non-person centred care in a residential care home. 1 Not meeting individual's needs. |
|--|---|
| | |
| | 2 Not allowing them to have choice or control over their |
| | 2 Not allowing them to have choice or control over their |
| | cave within the residential cave home. |
| | 3 Not putting recidents in the centre of care and not treating |
| | |
| | them as valued individuals [3] |

Commentary

The candidate has scored a mark for identifying that not giving an individual choice over their care is not person-centred.

Their first response was not credited as not meeting an individual's needs is a lack of care in general rather than just a lack of personcentred care. The third response has also not been credited as although the language used differs, the point made is a repeat of the answer already credited.

Centres should encourage candidates to give three clearly different examples as repeats are not credited even when the language used is different.

Question 4(a) – High level answer

4 (a) Give three examples of non-person centred care in a residential care home. 1 One example could is being don't like to wear something that a forced example, is if the individual does 2 A nother dependance and & of themselve. It is if an idividual had no alt some NO re last example is control over what they can even if eat their bliets and doesn't eff hts healt

Commentary

The candidate has been credited for the first and third responses which give different examples of non-person centred care. The first is an example of not giving an individual choice about what to wear. The third is not giving the individual control over their own decisions such as what to eat (even when the care worker believes other decisions would be better for the individual).

The candidate could have improved their mark by giving a less vague example for their second response. For example not providing necessary adaptations for individuals to enable them to have greater independence.



We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the 'Like' or 'Dislike' button you can help us to ensure that our resources work for you. When the email template pops up please add additional comments if you wish and then just click 'Send'. Thank you.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: Square down and Square up: alexwhite/Shutterstock.com

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

www.ocr.org.uk/healthandsocialcare OCR Customer Contact Centre

Vocational qualifications

Telephone 02476 851509 Facsimile 02476 851633

Email vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© OCR 2018 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office 1 Hills Road, Cambridge CB1 2EU. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



